



	Demographic Information
Plan Name: <plan name=""></plan>	
Project Leader Name:	Title:
Telephone Number:	Email Address:
PIP Title: < <u>PIP Topic></u>	
Submission Date:	





	Evaluation Elements	Scoring	Comments							
Perf	erformance Improvement Project Validation									
l.	Select the Study Topic(s): The study topic should be so of the project should be to improve processes and outopic:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
C*	Was selected following collection and analysis of data. NA is not applicable to this element for scoring.	☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA								
	Has the potential to affect member health, functional status, or satisfaction. The scoring for this element will be <i>Met</i> or <i>Not Met</i> .	☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA								
		Results for Sten I								

				Results	fo	r Step I
	Total Eva	aluation Elem	nents			
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA		Critic Elemen
2	0	0	0	0		1

 · Step ·				
	Criti	ical Elements		
Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA
1	0	0	0	0

^{* &}quot;C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

^{**} This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.

^{***} This is the total number of critical evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements	Scoring	Comments				
Perf	formance Improvement Project Validation						
II.	II. Define the Study Question(s): Stating the study question(s) helps maintain the focus of the PIP and sets the framework for data collection, analysis, and interpretation. The study question:						
C*	Was stated in simple terms and in the recommended X/Y format. NA is not applicable to this element for scoring.	☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA					

				Results	fo	r Step II
	Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents			
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA		Crit Eleme
1	0	0	0	0		1

10	Step II				
		Criti	ical Elements		
	Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA
	1	0	0	0	0

^{* &}quot;C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

^{**} This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.

^{***} This is the total number of critical evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements	Scoring	Comments
Perf	ormance Improvement Project Validation		
III.	Define the Study Population: The study population shaped question and indicators apply, without excluding men		
C*	Was accurately and completely defined and captured all members to whom the study question(s) applied.	☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA	
	<i>NA</i> is not applicable to this element for scoring.		
		Results for Sten III	

				Results
	Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents	
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA
1	0	0	0	0

101	r step iii									
	Critical Elements									
	Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA					
	1	0	0	0	0					

^{* &}quot;C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

^{**} This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.

^{***} This is the total number of critical evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation	Elements			Scoring				Commer	nts	
erformance Improvement Project Validation											
Select the Study Indicator(s): A study indicator is a quantitative or qualitative characteristic or variable that reflects a discrete event or a status that is to be measured. The selected indicator(s) should track performance or improvement over time. The indicator(s) should be objective, clearly and unambiguously defined, and based on current clinical knowledge or health services research. The study indicator(s):											
1. Was well-defined, objective, and measured changes in health or functional status, member satisfaction, or valid process alternatives.											
			()	☐ Met] Partially Met	Not Met] NA			
				Results	foi	r Step IV					
	Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents				Crit	ical Ele	ments		
Total Evaluation Elements** Partially Met								NA			
	Select the or a status should be study indice. 1. Was we change satisfact. 2. Include develop. Total valuation	Select the Study Indicate or a status that is to be should be objective, clestudy indicator(s): 1. Was well-defined, of changes in health or satisfaction, or valid 2. Included the basis on developed, if internal total valuation Total Evaluation Met	Select the Study Indicator(s): A study or a status that is to be measured. The should be objective, clearly and unart study indicator(s): 1. Was well-defined, objective, and rechanges in health or functional state satisfaction, or valid process alterred. 2. Included the basis on which the indicator developed, if internally developed. Total Evaluation Elem Total Partially Met	Select the Study Indicator(s): A study indicator is a or a status that is to be measured. The selected in should be objective, clearly and unambiguously destudy indicator(s): 1. Was well-defined, objective, and measured changes in health or functional status, member satisfaction, or valid process alternatives. 2. Included the basis on which the indicator(s) was developed, if internally developed. Total Evaluation Elements Total Partially Not Met	Select the Study Indicator(s): A study indicator is a quantitative or a status that is to be measured. The selected indicator(s) she should be objective, clearly and unambiguously defined, and be study indicator(s): 1. Was well-defined, objective, and measured changes in health or functional status, member satisfaction, or valid process alternatives. 2. Included the basis on which the indicator(s) was developed, if internally developed. Met	Select the Study Indicator(s): A study indicator is a quantitative of or a status that is to be measured. The selected indicator(s) show should be objective, clearly and unambiguously defined, and bas study indicator(s): 1. Was well-defined, objective, and measured changes in health or functional status, member satisfaction, or valid process alternatives. 2. Included the basis on which the indicator(s) was developed, if internally developed. Met Met Met NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	Select the Study Indicator(s): A study indicator is a quantitative or qualitative char or a status that is to be measured. The selected indicator(s) should track performs should be objective, clearly and unambiguously defined, and based on current clir study indicator(s): 1. Was well-defined, objective, and measured changes in health or functional status, member satisfaction, or valid process alternatives. 2. Included the basis on which the indicator(s) was developed, if internally developed. Met	Select the Study Indicator(s): A study indicator is a quantitative or qualitative characteristic or vor a status that is to be measured. The selected indicator(s) should track performance or improshould be objective, clearly and unambiguously defined, and based on current clinical knowled study indicator(s): 1. Was well-defined, objective, and measured changes in health or functional status, member satisfaction, or valid process alternatives. 2. Included the basis on which the indicator(s) was developed, if internally developed. Met	Select the Study Indicator(s): A study indicator is a quantitative or qualitative characteristic or variable or a status that is to be measured. The selected indicator(s) should track performance or improvement should be objective, clearly and unambiguously defined, and based on current clinical knowledge or histudy indicator(s): 1. Was well-defined, objective, and measured changes in health or functional status, member satisfaction, or valid process alternatives. 2. Included the basis on which the indicator(s) was developed, if internally developed. Met	Select the Study Indicator(s): A study indicator is a quantitative or qualitative characteristic or variable that or a status that is to be measured. The selected indicator(s) should track performance or improvement over should be objective, clearly and unambiguously defined, and based on current clinical knowledge or health study indicator(s): 1. Was well-defined, objective, and measured changes in health or functional status, member satisfaction, or valid process alternatives. 2. Included the basis on which the indicator(s) was developed, if internally developed. Met	Select the Study Indicator(s): A study indicator is a quantitative or qualitative characteristic or variable that reflects a discor a status that is to be measured. The selected indicator(s) should track performance or improvement over time. The incishould be objective, clearly and unambiguously defined, and based on current clinical knowledge or health services reseased undicator(s): 1. Was well-defined, objective, and measured changes in health or functional status, member satisfaction, or valid process alternatives. 2. Included the basis on which the indicator(s) was developed, if internally developed. Met

^{* &}quot;C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

0

0

0

2

0

0

0

0

0

^{**} This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.

^{***} This is the total number of critical evaluation elements for this step.





		Evaluation	Elements				Scoring				Commer	its
Perf	Performance Improvement Project Validation											
V.	Use Sound Sampling Techniques: (If sampling was not used, each evaluation element will be scored Not Applicable [NA]). If V. sampling was used to select members in the population, proper sampling techniques are necessary to provide valid and reliable information on the quality of care provided. Sampling methods:											
			•	or the sampline casurement 1).	B ☐ Mea	t [Partially Met	Not Met	NA			
	2. Include	ed the title of e	each study ind	icator.	☐ Mea	t [Partially Met	Not Met	NA			
	3. Include indicat	• •	ion size for ea	ch study	□ Ме	t [Partially Met	Not Met	NA			
C*	4. Include	ed the sample	size for each s	tudy indicator		☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA						
		ed the margin h study indica		onfidence leve	□ Ме	t [Partially Met	Not Met	NA			
	6. Descri	bed the metho	d used to selec	t the sample.	☐ <i>Ме</i> г	☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA						
C*		ed for the gene copulation.	eralization of r	esults to the	□ Ме	t [Partially Met	Not Met	NA			
					Results	fo	r Step V					
	Total Evaluation Elements Critical Elements											
Total Evaluation Elements** Partially Not Met				NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Parti Me		Not Met	NA	
	7	0	0	0	0		2	0	0		0	0

[&]quot;C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

^{**} This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.

*** This is the total number of critical evaluation elements for this step.





		Evaluation	Elements				Scoring		Comments		
Perf	ormance In	nprovement	Project Valid	lation							
VI.	Reliably Collect Data: The data collection process must ensure that the data collected on the study indicator(s) was valid and VI. reliable. Validity is an indication of the accuracy of the information obtained. Reliability is an indication of the repeatability or reproducibility of a measurement. Data collection procedures include:										
	Clearly defined sources of data and data elements collected for the study indicator(s). NA is not applicable to this element for scoring.					☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA					
C*	collecti study ir	ly defined and ng baseline and idicator(s).	d remeasurem	nent data for th	e	☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA					
C*	3. A manual data collection tool that ensured				ng	☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA					
4. The percentage of administrative data completeness following allowable claims lag and the process used to calculate the percentage.				ad _	t [Partially Met	Not Met] NA			
					Results	foi	Step VI				
		Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents		Critical Elements					
	Total valuation ements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA
	4	0	0	0	0		2	0	0	0	0

[&]quot;C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

^{**} This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.

This is the total number of critical evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements						Scoring		Comments		
Perfo	ormance In	nprovement	Project Valid	lation							
VII.	Analyze Data and Interpretation of Study Indicator Results: Clearly present the results for each study indicator. Describe the data analysis performed, the results of the statistical analysis, and a narrative interpretation for each study indicator. Through data analysis and interpretation, real improvement, as well as sustained improvement, can be determined. The data analysis and interpretation of the study indicator outcomes:										
C*	1. Included accurate, clear, consistent, and easily understood information in the data table.				☐ Met] Partially Met	Not Met] NA		
2. Included a narrative interpretation of results that addressed all requirements.				at Met	☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA						
3. Addressed factors that threatened the validity of the data reported and ability to compare the initial measurement with the remeasurement.] Partially Met [Not Met] NA			
					Results	for	Step VII				
		Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents				Crit	ical Elements	5	
Eva	Total aluation ments**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA
3 0 0 0 0			1	0	0	0	0				

[&]quot;C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

^{**} This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.

^{***} This is the total number of critical evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements					Scoring						Comme	nts
Perfo	rmance Im	provement	Project Valid	ation									
VIII. Improvement Strategies and Interventions: Intervention of data measurement and data analysis. The improvement included:													
C*	* 1. A causal/barrier analysis with a clearly documented team, process/steps, and quality improvement tools.					☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA							
	2. Barriers that were identified and prioritized based on results of data analysis and/or other quality improvement processes.				□ Ме	et [Partially Met [Not Met] NA				
C*	3. Interventions that were logically linked to identified barriers and have the potential to impact study indicator outcomes.				ed	☐ <i>M</i> €	et [Partially Met [Not Met] NA			
4. Interventions that were implemented in a timely manner to allow for impact of study indicator outcomes.					☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA								
C*	5. An eva		ectiveness for	each individua	ıl	□ Ме	et [Partially Met	Not Met] NA			
	6. Interventions that were continued, revised, or discontinued based on evaluation data.				☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA								
	Results for Step VIII												
		Total Ev	aluation Elem	nents		Critical Elements							
	Total valuation	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	-	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Partial Met	lly	Not Met	NA

0

Elements**

6

0

0

3

0

0

0

0

0

^{* &}quot;C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

^{**} This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.

^{***} This is the total number of critical evaluation elements for this step.





Evaluation Elements							Scoring		Comments		
Performance Improvement Project Validation											
IX.	Assess for Real Improvement: Real improvement or meaningful change in performance is evaluated based on study indicator(s) results.										
	1. The remeasurement methodology was the same as the baseline methodology.] Partially Met [Not Met] NA		
C* 2. There was statistically significant improvement over the baseline across all study indicators.				☐ Met	☐ Met ☐ Partially Met ☐ Not Met ☐ NA						
					Results	for	Step IX				
		Total Eva	aluation Elem	ents				Crit	ical Elements		
	l Evaluation ements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA		Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA
	3	3 0 0 0 0			1	0	0	0	0		

^{* &}quot;C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.

^{**} This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.

^{***} This is the total number of critical evaluation elements for this step.





	Evaluation Elements Scoring Comments								
Performance Improvement Project Validation									
х.	X. Assess for Sustained Improvement: Sustained improvement is demonstrated through repeated measurements over comparable time periods.								
1. Repeated measurements over comparable time periods demonstrated sustained improvement over the baseline across all study indicators.									
Results for Ston Y									

				Results						
Total Evaluation Elements										
Total Evaluation Elements**	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA						
1	0	0	0	0						

IUI	Step A										
	Critical Elements										
	Critical Elements***	Met	Partially Met	Not Met	NA						
	1	0	0	0	0						

- * "C" in this column denotes a *critical* evaluation element.
- ** This is the total number of *all* evaluation elements for this step.
- *** This is the total number of critical evaluation elements for this step.





Table B-1—2018 PIP Validation Tool Scores for <pip topic=""> for <plan name=""></plan></pip>										
Review Step	Total Possible Evaluation Elements (Including Critical Elements)	Total <i>Met</i>	Total Partially Met	Total Not Met	Total <i>NA</i>	Total Possible Critical Elements	Total Critical Elements <i>Met</i>	Total Critical Elements Partially Met	Total Critical Elements Not Met	Total Critical Elements NA
I. Select the Study Topic(s)	2					1				
II. Define the Study Question(s)	1					1				
III. Define the Study Population	1					1				
IV. Select the Study Indicator(s)	2					1				
V. Use Sound Sampling Techniques	7					2				
VI. Reliably Collect Data	4					2				
VII. Analyze Data and Interpret Study Results	3					1				
VIII. Improvement Strategies	6					3				
IX. Assess for Real Improvement	3					1				
X. Assess for Sustained Improvement	1					1				
Totals for All Steps	30				_	14				

Table B-2 PIP Validation Overall Score for <pip topic=""> for <plan name=""></plan></pip>							
Percentage Score of Evaluation Elements Met*	%						
Percentage Score of Critical Elements Met**	%						
Validation Status***							

The percentage score for all evaluation elements *Met* is calculated by dividing the total *Met* by the sum of all evaluation elements *Met*, and *Not Met*. The Not Assessed and Not Applicable scores have been removed from the scoring calculations.

The percentage score for critical elements *Met* is calculated by dividing the total critical elements *Met* by the sum of the critical elements *Met*, *Partially Met*, and *Not Met*.

Validation Status: See confidence level definitions below.





EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY OF PIP RESULTS								
_	•		protocols and determined whether the State the validation of this PIP, HSAG's assessment					
<i>Met:</i> High confidence/confidence in re evaluation elements were <i>Met</i> across al	-	ults. All critical evaluation	elements were <i>Met</i> , and 80 to 100 percent of all					
Partially Met: Low confidence in repo evaluation elements were Met across al			elements were <i>Met</i> , and 60 to 79 percent of all elements were <i>Partially Met</i> .					
Not Met: All critical evaluation elements or one or more critical evaluation elements		-	ll evaluation elements were <i>Met</i> across all steps;					
		Validation Status						
	1et	Partially Met	☐ Not Met					